ALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT
30 DAY SWALDATION REPORT OF

S

Form No. CPS-PP-416

APPRENTUE AND PROBATIONARY PO	CICE OFFICERS
Hara Discorit & D.	Batrance Date July 28, 1954
(Max) (Middle) (First)	
Police School Rating Bate this report prepa	ros May 1953
Plateon assignment during this period Days St	ek O Dere Worked 2.2

Note that numerical ratings are assigned to the various categories in order to more clearly define opinion. Read carefully the adjectival ratings and their numerical values before making any entries on this form. Landyse carefully the san's performance. Call to mind instances that are typical of his work and domainer. Unumul cases which are not typical

Solid Spinons one carried the superior rating the next numerous values are assisted as entire on this form. Assiste any entire to this form. Assiste any entire to this form. Assiste any entire to near spinon to the vert and demonant. Demonal cases which are not typical to the vert and demonant. Demonal cases which are not typical values of the contract of the cont

1.	INITIATIVE - The	s factor appraisupervisor. Is	ses ability to he a self wtar	act on own re- ter or must be	sponsibility o be started	in absence	Numerical value
H		1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.77. 8.	9.	10.	
Ш	Unentiefactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	7
2.	LOYALITY - This	e factor apprair and the departs	ses the opinion out. Does he b	s expressed, i	implied or o	isplayed ent	Funerical Value
ŀ	Unastiefactory	l. 2. Questionable	Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	<u> </u>	10.	9
Ц.		(		101,9 0001			/
3.	OO-OPERATION - C	omeider his abi	lity to work ef	fectively with	h co-verker	, supervisore	Numerical value
1.	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9.	(10)	
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	10
4.	PERSONALITY - Co	meider his inte	grity, self con	fidence, and i	impression 1	e nakes on	Numerical Value
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.72 8.	9.	10.	1
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Tery Good	Supe	rior	7
5.	DEPENDABILITY -	This factor appropriations and	raises your con instructions o	fidence in the onecientiously	e employee t	o carry out	Eumerical value
. L	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	(P)	10.	
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	9
6	TACT - This fact without o	or appraises hi ffending or cre	s ability to ef ating antagonis	ficiently account.	ouplish his	purpose	Numerical value
L	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8)	9.	10.	1
	Unsatisinctory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Sood	Supe	rior	8
7.	HANTEING PRISONS	98 - Does he di without he	splay and pract ated argument o	ice the abilit r force?	ty of arrest	ing persons	Numerical Value
	0	1. 2.	2. 2. 3.	6. 7. 3.	60	10.	
	Uneatiefactory	Questionable	Satisfiere terr	"er; Soot	Stree	rior	9
9.		der the intelli he have the abi	gence and though	ht he uses in apidly and log	arriving at	decisions. r stress?	Numerical value
-	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 3.	(9:	20.	
	Unsatisfactory	Questinnable	Satisfactory	Very Sood	Supe	rier	7
9.	ATTITUDE - This smoti	factor appaisss ons?	suployee's tem	permant. Dos	e he contro	l his	Function1 value
. Г	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.17.8.	9.	(10)	
ı	Unsatisfactory	Questionable		Very Good	Supe	rior	10
							,,,

	CAPACITY - Now quickly ques he learn and retain what he learned Is he additioned							
١٠٠-	0 Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 57 8. Very Good	9. 10. Superior	1		
1	RESOURCEFULNESS - Consider his ability to think creatively and handle his job in spite of adversity.							
1.	O Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8) Very Good	9. 10. Superior	8		
2.	INTEREST - Does a con	he reasonably suplete, smart an	shordinate own	convenience, ormance of du	comfort and desires to ty?	Numerical value		
2.	Unsatisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	(9.) 10. Superior	9		
	HEALTH - Is he energetic? Has he marked endurance? Does he frequently report sick? Has he unusual standar?							
13.	Unantiefactory	l. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good	9. 10. Superior	10		
	SAFETY - Does he Is he s resource	fenorg trabicos	fety rules with loss he execut	firearns and proper care	webicular squipment? in the use of department	Numerical value		
14.		1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9. (10)	-		
t	Unentiefactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	10		
15.	APPEARANCE - Does he appear clean and neat in uniform? Does he carry himself well? Does he dress in keeping with his responsibility as an Officer when off duty?							
7.	Unantisfactory	l. 2. Questionable	J. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Tery Good	G) 10. Superior	9		
1	ADAPTABILITY - Consider his ability to meet new conditions and execute new assignments. Is he versatile?							
	ADAPTABILITY - 0	Consider his abi	lity to meet me reatile?	w comditions	and execute new assign-	Eumerical value		
16.	0	l. 2.	7. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9.) 10.	Eumerical value		
16.		ments. Is he we	lity to meet me reatile? 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good		Funerical value		
-	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does	l. 2. Questionable	2. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Tery Good	9. 10. Superior	Emerical value		
17.	0 Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does be :	1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do	3. b. 5. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the	6, 7, 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper souse	9.) 10. Superior  mer than hie own? Is of proportion?	9 Smerical value		
-	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does	1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do	yatile?  3. 4. 5. Satisfactory  proper value to es he have the	6, 7, 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper souse	9.) 10. Superior her than hie own? Is of proportion?	9		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Dome he : O Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable	2. 4. 5. Satisfactory proper value to as he have the 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good opinione oth proper sense 6.7.8. Yery Good	9.) 10. Superior  mer than hie own? Is of proportion?	9 Smerical value		
-	Unsatisfactory  TOLERANCE - Doe  O  Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable s he a good tear orkers?  1. 2.	yeatile?  3. h. S. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the  3. h. S. Satisfactory worker? Does  3. h. S.	6.7.8. Tery Good opinions other proper sense 6.7.8. Very Good he get along	(9.) 10. Superior  ser than hic over I is of proportion  10. Superior  well with fellow	9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Dome he : O Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I	nents. Is he we  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable s he a good team	Teatile?  2. h. s. Satisfactory proper value to es he have the  2. h. s. Satisfactory worker? Does	6. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions oth proper seese 6. 7. 8. Yeary Good he got along	9. 10. Superior  ser than hie own Is of proportion?  5. 10. Superior  well with fellow	Numerical		
17.	O Unsatisfactory  COLERANCE - Dominion to the to th	anats. Is he vo  1. 2. Questionable a he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable a he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor :	ratile?  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory  proper value to en the have the  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  3. %. 5.  Satisfactory	S. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions of broper sense 6. 7.8. Yeary Good he get along 1.7.8. Tery Good	(9.) 10. Superior  ser than hic over I is of proportion  10. Superior  well with fellow	9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value  9 Sumerical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Bose he	a he accord the overbearing! Do  1. 2. Questionshie  he accord the overbearing! Do  1. 2. Questionshie  1. 2. Questionshie  - This factor a persistency a  1. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 2.  2. 3. 2.  3. 2.  4. 2.  4. 2.  4. 2.  5. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  6. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  7. 2.  8. 2.  9. 2.  1. 2.	pratition  2. h. S. Satisfactory proper value to se he have the  3. h. S. Satisfactory worker? Does  3. h. S. Satisfactory projess the sa of meanage of  3. h. S.	5.7.8. Tery Good opinions of proper sense 6.7.8. Very Good he get along fery Good rery Good continued a period of the period of	(9, 10. Superior  For than his own! Is of proportion in the properties in the properties well with fellow well with fellow for the properties in accuracy,  9, 10.	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		
17.	O Unsatisfactory TOLERANCE - Does he he Unsatisfactory SOCIABILITY - I Unsatisfactory QUALITY OF WORK	anote. Is he vo  1. 2. Questionable s he accord the overbearing? Do  1. 2. Questionable a he a good team orders?  1. 2. Questionable - This factor operaistency of	pastisfactory  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  proper value to  se have the  3. h. 5.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  J. h. S.  Satisfactory  popules the end meatness of	S. 7. 8. Tery Good opinions of broper sense 6. 7.8. Yery Good he get along 6. 7. 8. Tery Good minyee's period	(b) 10. Superior  Let than hit ovel Is of preperior  Superior  Lot 10. Superior	Numerical value  9  Numerical value  9  Numerical value		
17.	Constisfactory COLERANCE - Doe- Do - Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe-	and to the volume to the control of	reatile?  Satisfactory  Satisfactory  proper value to se be have the  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  worker? Does  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  populate the end meatures of  J. A. S.  Satisfactory  story  s	6.7.8. Tery book opinions other proper sense 6.7.8. Tery book has get along the first period of tery book opinions opinions of tery book opinions opi	(9, 10. Superior  For than his own! Is of proportion in the properties in the properties well with fellow well with fellow for the properties in accuracy,  9, 10.	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		
18.	Constisfactory COLERANCE - Doe- Do - Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Do - Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe- Doe-	and to the volume to the control of	reatile?  2. a. 5. Satisfactory  proper value to es he have the  2. a. 5. Satisfactory  worker? Does  worker? Does  satisfactory  popraises the each meatures of  3. a. 5. Satisfactory  volume of workers	S. 7.8. "ery Bood opinions oth proper sense 6.7.8. Very Good he get along 6.7.8. "eary Bood he get along confidence of the proper sense S. 7.6. Tery Good Tery Good	Superior  are than hie out! Is of proportion?  Superior  well with fellow  Is Superior  ormance is accuracy,  Superior	Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value  Superical value		

Sot. R.S. Pince

DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TEAINING SCHOOL
IN SERVICE TRAFFIC TRAINING SCHOOL
NOVEMBER 16 THRU DECEMBER 10, 1953

Attended a 16 hour In Service

School on subjects shown below:

Civilian Defense Org.
Relation to Traffic Control 2 hours
Ratio of Alcohol Level to Behavior 2 hours
Point Control Techniques 2 hours

City of Dallas Fleet Safety Program 1 hour - Accident Investigation Report Forms 1 hour

Public Relations and Traffic Enforcement 2 hours Policies

New Traffic Laws Passed by 53rd, Texas 2 hours Legislature

> Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor

# DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TEAINING SCHOOL IN SERVICE TRAINING SCHOOL FOR TRAFFIC & CIVIL DEFENSE

#### MAY 3 THRU 29, 1954

School on subjects shown below:

Civil Defense organization 2 hours

Police Org. 6 Proc. in C.D. 2 hours

Disaster area Control 2 hours

Disaster Control Traffic Pettern 2 hours

Traffic Enforcement Policies 2 hours

Testifying in Corp. Court 1 hour
Waking Cases in County Court 1 hour

Evaluating Acc. Causes by ACC. 2 hours Investigation

Inv. of DWI Cases 2 hours

Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police

Attended a 16 hour In Service

Personnel File

## DAZLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT RANGE FIRING RECORD

### QUARTERLY FIRING REPORT FOR PERIOD ENDING September, 1954

NAME_TIPFI	T. J.D.		
STYLE		EANGE	AVERAGE SCORE
HIP		7 YARDS	40
POINT		15 YARDS	25
POINT KNEELI	NG	25 YARDS	16 2 mos.

THE FIRING WAS IN 10 SHOT SERIES; PERFECT SCORE 50. THE OFFICER FIRED THE COURSE ONCE EACH MOWTH DURING THE PERIOD. THE SCORE REPORTED IS AN AVERAGE FOR THE THREE MONTHS.

SGT. F. R. WATSON RANGE MASTER

Personnel File

DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT TRAINING SCHOOL

IN SERVICE TRAINING SCHOOL ON COLLECTION AND PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE

SEPTEMBER 7 THRU 24, 1954

School on subjects shown below:

Attended an 8 hour In Service

Crime Laboratory Technique (Film)

Collection and Preservation of Evidence (Class room)

2 hours 2 hours

Collection and Preservation of Evidence Actual problem (Film)

Panel Discussion Crime Laboratory Procedure

Paul H. Ashenhust Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor

Personnel File

# DALLAS POLICE TRAINING SCHOOL

#### IN-SERVICE TRAFFIC SCHOOL

May 2nd thru May 20th 1955

attended 8-hour In-Service Traffic

Point Control: Hand Signals

School on the following subjects:

Traffic Enforcement Policy 1 hr.

Traffic Homicide Investigations 1 hr.

Accident Investigations 2 hrs.

D.W.I. Tests and Reports 2 hrs.

Paul H. Johnhust

Inspector of Police Departmental Instructor

2 hrs.

DALLAS POLICE DEPARTMENT 30 DAY EVALUATION REPORT OF APPRENTUE AND PROBATIONARY POLICE OFFICERS

Form No. CPS-PP-686

Save School States Stat

Note that materical mating are sentent to the serious colouries in order to more clearly define spillam. Note cornelly the adjection relation and the sentent matter and the sentent material sentent material sentent material sentent materials and the sentent materials of the sentent materials and the sentent materials. The sentent materials are sentent materials and the sentent materials and the sentent materials are sentent materials. The sentent materials are sentent materials and the sentent materials are sentent materials and beginned under its preparation that matter desiration for the sentent materials are sentent materials.

1.							
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.72 8.	9. 10.	-	
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Yezy Good	Superior	1 2	
	LOYALITT - This factor appraises the opinions expressed, implied or displayed toward the department. Does be boost or lanck the Department						
ŀ	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6-2-8	/9 10.	-	
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	9	
	OG-GFERGIOS - Consider his ability to work effectively with co-workers, supervisor and outsiders.						
ŀ	Oneatiefactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 2. 8.	0 00	1	
4	Casacianactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	10	
1		meider his inte here.	grity, self con	ifidence, and i	apression he makes on	Numerical value	
ŀ	Onestisfactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6.72 8.	9. 10.	1	
1	Onestisiactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Superior	7	
t	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	employee to carry out	Funerical Value	
		l. 2. Questionable	THE COME STORE S	oneclentiously			
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good	② 10.	value	
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his feedding or cres	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good	Superior	Funerical	
	Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his fending or crea	3. 4. 5. Satisfactory sability to so ating antagonise	6.7.8. Very Good	O 10. Superior	Funerical value	
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or oree 1. 2. Questionable % - These he dis without has	3. h. 5. Satisfactory  sability to etating antagonis  3. h. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently acco	Superior  suplies his purpose	Funerical	
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory  EARCHING PRISONER	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or cres 1. 2. Questionable 35 - These he dis without hes	3. h. 5. Satisfactory s ability to efficient antagonise 3. h. 5. Satisfactory splay and practitated argument or	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently acco	Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  of arresting persons	Functical value	
	O Unsatisfactory  TAGT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his ffending or oree 1. 2. Questionable % - These he dis without has	3. h. 5. Satisfactory ability to etating antagoniss 3. h. 5. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Very Good ficiently accom- 6.7.6  very Good ice the ability forces	Superior  splich his purpose  9. 10. Superior	Functical value	
	O Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory  EARCLING PRISONS  O Unsatisfactory  JUDGEST - Constit	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree  1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis estable 1. 2. Orasionable	2, a, s. Satisfactory sobility to entiting antagents 3, a, s. Satisfactory uplay and practited argument or	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently accommendation of the ability forms accommendation the ability forms accommendation of the ability forms accom	D 10. Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  7 of arresting persons  2 10. Sweeter	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value	
	O Unsatisfactory  TACT - This fact without o  Unsatisfactory  BATELING PRISONS  JUDGEST - Consider  O	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frontians or cres 1. 2. Questionable 25 - Rams he dis without has 1. 2. Objectionable cer the intellige have the abil	2, b, s. Batterfactory  a ability to ectating antagender  3, b, s. Satterfactory  play and practited argument or  solid argument or  solid accounts.	6, 7, 8, Very Good  ficiently acco.  6, 7, 8, Very Good  to the ability forces  6, 7, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,	Depertor  Depertor  10.  Depertor  1	Functical value  Superical value	
	O Unnettednetory  TMOT - This fact without o  O Unnettednetory  EANUALING PRISONS:  O Unnettednetory  JUDGESST - Commission - Done is	1. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cres 1. 2. Questionable S- Tames he dis withbout hea 1. 2. Orestionable or the intellige s have the abil	2, a, s. Satisfactory e ability to ectaing antagenism 3, a, s. Satisfactory splay and practited argument or a splay. Satisfactory more and thoughting to think me	6.7.8. Very Good  ficiently accommendation of the ability forms accommendation the ability forms accommendation of the ability forms accom	D 10. Superior  10. Superior  10. Superior  7 of arresting persons  2 10. Sweeter	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value	
	Dissiletory  TACT - This fact without o  Unsails factory  RANGIND PRISONS  D.  Unsails factory  Unsails factory  Unsails factory  ATTITUME - This c  month	l. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree 1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis officianable cer the intellige of have the abil 1. 2. Questionable	3. a. s. Satisfactory sublity to efficiency play and practited argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory thed argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory muce and thought ity to think in 7. a. s. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good  ficiently accome. 6.7.80  Tery Good  toe the ability forces 6.7.3.  comp Sacd  t be uses in a public accomp Sacd  t be good Tery Good  Tery Good  Tery Good	Departor  10.  Superfor  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10	Functical value  Buserical value  Buserical value	
	O Unsatisfactory TACT - This fact without o O Unsatisfactory EANCLING PRISONS Dussisfactory JUDGEST - Consider	l. 2. Questionable or appraises his frending or cree 1. 2. Questionable 55 - Rams he dis officianable cer the intellige of have the abil 1. 2. Questionable	3. a. s. Satisfactory sublity to efficiency play and practited argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory thed argument or 1. a. s. Satisfactory muce and thought ity to think in 7. a. s. Satisfactory	6.7.8. Tery Good  ficiently accome. 6.7.80  Tery Good  toe the ability forces 6.7.3.  comp Sacd  t be uses in a public accomp Sacd  t be good Tery Good  Tery Good  Tery Good	Departor  10.  Superfor  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10.  10	Paperical value  Paperical value  Buserical value  Buserical value  Buserical value	

10.	CAPACITY - How q	mickly -/s he l	earn and retain	n what he less	rns? Is be	_itious?	Sumerical value	
	O Unsatisfactory	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 0 8. Very Good	9.	10.		
	Uneatisinctory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	7	
1.	RESOURCEFULIRES	- Coneider his s in spite of ad	bility to think	k creatively a	and handle h	is job	Numerical value	
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7.(8)	9.	10.		
_	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	ier	8	
12.	INTEREST - Does a con	he reasonably explote, exact and	bordinate own o	convenience, o	comfort and o	lesires to	Numerical value	
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	62	10.		
	Unsatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	9	
13.	SEALTH - Is he energetic? Has he marked endurance? Does he frequently report sick? Has he unusual staning?							
-	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	9.	69		
	Unantisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Very Good	Supe	rior	10	
11.	SAFETY - Does he Is he a resource	observe all sai sceident prome? ses?	fety rules with Boes he execute	firearms and proper care	wehicular e in the use	pulyment? of department	Numerical value	
	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	0.	60)		
	Uneatisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	Tery Good	Supe	rior	10	
15.	Doe of t	es he appear cler es he dress in ke f duty!	m and neat in seeping with his	uniforn? Doe respons?bili	s he carry h ty se an Off	inself well? Loer when	Numerical value	
	Unsatisfactory	1. 2. Questionable	3, 4. 5. Satisfactory	6. 7. 8. Very Good	O) Supe	10.	9	
16.	ADAPTABILITY - C	Consider his abil	ilty to meet now	w conditions	and emecute :	ev assign-	Numerical value	
10.	0	1. 2.	3. 4. 5.	6. 7. 8.	(9.)	10.		
_	Unentisfactory	Questionable	Satisfactory	"ery Sood	Supe	rior	9	
17.	TOLERANCE - Docar	Questionable s he accord the s overbearing? Doe	Satisfactory proper value to as he have the	coinions othe	er than bis	wat to	Sumerical value	
17.	TOLERANCE - Door	Questionable	Satisfactory	coinions other	er them his of proportio	wat to	Pumerical	
17.	TOLERANDE - Does he d  O Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - 1:	Questionable the accord the poverbearing? Doe	Satisfactory  proper value to so he have the;  3. 5. 5. Satisfactory  worker? Does	oninions other proper sense of 6, 7, 8. Very Soul	er them his of proportion Sup	own? Is a? 10. srior	Pumerical	
_	TOLERANDE - Does he d  O Unsatisfactory  SOCIABILITY - 1:	She accord the poverbearing? Do	Satisfactory proper value to so he have the ; 3. 4. 5. Satisfactory	oninions other proper sense of 6, 7, 8. Very Soul	er them his of proportion Sup-	own? Is a? 10. srior	Numerical value	
_	TOLERANCE - Does to be a community of the community of th	Questionable  be accord the presenting Do  l. 2. Questionable  be a good team ordered  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor as	Satisfactory  proper value to so he have the;  3. 4. 5.  Satisfactory  vorber? Does;  3. 5. 5.  Satisfactory  prefere the expensions of	onfinions other proper sense of 6,7,8. Very Good he get along v 6-7,8. Tery Good clayee's performance.	er them his of proportion  Sup  well with fe	10. 10. rior tlow	Numerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does no o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o	Questionable  be accord the presenting Do  l. 2. Questionable  be a good team ordered  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor as	Satisfactory  proper value to so he have the;  3. 0. 5. Satisfactory  worker? Does;  3. 0. 5. Satisfactory  profises the eye	onfinions other proper sense of 6, 7, 8. Very Good he get along v 6, 7, 8. Tery Good	er them his of proporties of proporties of sup- well with fe	10. 10. rior tlow	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  G  Sumerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does  O the stiffnetory  SOCIABILITY - I:  W  O the stiffnetory  Unestiffnetory  GUALITY OF WORK  O the stiffnetory	onestionable  be accord the greenaring? Do-  k 2. Questionable  be a good team scheme?  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor as persistency as  1. 2. Questionable	Satisfactory  proper value to se he have the;  3. h. s. Satisfactory  worker? Does;  Satisfactory  project the ex- stranspace t	outnions other proper sense of 6,7.8. Very Soud he get along v 6,7.8. Tery Good loyee's perfection Tery Good	er them his set proporties  Supporting  Su	10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  G  Sumerical value	
18.	TOLERANDE - Does  O the stiffnetory  SOCIABILITY - I:  W  O the stiffnetory  Unestiffnetory  GUALITY OF WORK  O the stiffnetory	onestionable  be accord the greenaring? Do-  k 2. Questionable  be a good team scheme?  1. 2. Questionable  - This factor as persistency as  1. 2. Questionable	Satisfactory proper value to se he have the; 3, 0, 1, Satisfactory worker? Does  3, 0, 5, Satisfactory profises the ex dineatness of: 1, 0, 5, Satisfactory volume of work volume of work	outnions other proper sense of 6,7.8. Very Soud he get along v 6,7.8. Tery Good loyee's perfection Tery Good	er them his of proportion of proportion of proportion of proportion of page 1 of page 2 of page	10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10. 10.	Sumerical value  Sumerical value  Sumerical value  Sumerical value	

Sgt. R.S. Prince